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## Multicolor box-ball system, Takahashi 1993

A box-ball system (BBS) is a dynamical system with balls labeled by numbers 1 through $n$ in an infinite strip of boxes. Balls take turns jumping to the rightmost empty box, starting with the smallest-numbered ball.


Box-ball system example ( $t=0$ through $t=5$ )

| $t=0$ | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t=1$ |  |  | 4 | 5 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t=2$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t=4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 6 |

## Solitons and steady state

## Definition

A soliton of a box-ball system is an increasing run of balls that moves at a speed equal to their length and is preserved by all future box-ball moves.

## Example

The strings 4, 25, and 136 are solitons:


After a finite number of BBS moves, the system reaches a steady state where:

- the system is decomposed into solitons, i.e., each ball belongs to one soliton
- the lengths of the solitons are weakly decreasing from right to left


## Tableaux (English notation)

## Definition

A tableau is an arrangement of numbers $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ into rows whose lengths are weakly decreasing.
A tableau is standard if its rows and columns are increasing.

## Example



| 1 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |



## Soliton decomposition

## Definition

The soliton decomposition $\operatorname{SD}(w)$ of a permutation $w$ is the tableau whose rows are the solitons stacked from right to left.

## Example

$$
t=3
$$

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$t=4$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$t=5$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$$
\mathrm{SD}(452361)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 1 & 3 & 6 \\
\hline 2 & 5 & \\
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline
\end{array} \text { with shape }(3,2,1)
$$

## RSK algorithm

The Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) insertion algorithm is a bijection

$$
w \mapsto(P(w), Q(w))
$$

from $S_{n}$ onto pairs of size- $n$ standard tableaux of equal shape.
Example

Let $w=$ 452361. $P(w)=$\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l}
\hline 1 \& 3 \& 6 <br>
\hline 2 \& 5 \& <br>
\hline 4 \& \&

$\quad$ and $\quad Q(w)=$

\hline 1 \& 2 \& 5 <br>
\hline 3 \& 4 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} .

(Please ask me if you'd like to see the computation.)

## The $Q$ tableau determines the dynamics of a box-ball system

## Theorem (SUMRY 2021)

If $Q(\pi)=Q(w)$, then the box-ball systems of $\pi$ and $w$ are identical if we ignore the ball labels, in particular:

- $\pi$ and $w$ first reach steady state at the same time, and
- the soliton decompositions of $\pi$ and $w$ have the same shape

Example

$$
\pi=21435 \text { and } w=31425
$$

$$
Q(\pi)=Q(w)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Both $\pi$ and $w$ first reach steady state at $t=1$.

$$
S D(\pi)=\begin{array}{|l|l|ll}
\hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & & & S D(w)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline 3 & & \\
\hline 3 & &
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} 
\\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## L-shaped soliton decompositions

The time when $w$ first reaches steady state is called the time to steady state of $w$.

## Theorem (SUMRY 2021)

If a permutation has an L-shaped soliton decomposition $S D=\square, \square$, then its time to steady state is either $t=0$ or $t=1$.

## Example

Such permutations include noncrossing involutions and column reading words of standard tableaux.

$$
\text { Both } \pi=21435 \text { and } w=31425 \text { have steady-state time } t=1
$$

$$
S D(\pi)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline 2 & & S D(w)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline 3 & & \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\pi=21435=(12)(34) \text { and } w=31425 \text { is the column reading word of } \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline 3 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{array} .
$$

## Maximum steady-state time

Theorem (UConn 2020)
If $n \geq 5$ and

$$
Q(w)=
$$

then the steady-state time of $w$ is $n-3$.

## Conjecture

For $n \geq 4$, the maximum time to steady state is $n-3$.
Partial Results (SUMRY 2021):

- If the shape of $Q(w)$ is $(n-3,2,1)$, the maximum steady-state time is $n-3$.


## When is SD standard?

Example

$$
\mathrm{SD}(452361)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 1 & 3 & 6 \\
\hline 2 & 5 & \\
\hline 4 & & \left.\mathrm{SD}(21435)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline 2 & & \mathrm{SD}(31425)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline 3 & & \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 
&
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Theorem (UConn 2020)

Given $w \in S_{n}$, the following are equivalent:

1. $\mathrm{SD}(w)$ is standard
2. $\mathrm{SD}(w)=P(w)$
3. the shape of $\mathrm{SD}(w)$ is the same as the shape of $P(w)$

## Definition

We say that a permutation $w$ is good if the tableau $\mathrm{SD}(w)$ is standard.

## Good tableaux and Motzkin numbers

Fact: $Q(w)$ determines whether $w$ is good
Given a $Q$-equivalence class, either all permutations in it are good or all of them are not good.

## Definition (Good tableaux)

A standard tableau $T$ is good if each permutation whose $Q$ tableau equals $T$ is good.

## Conjecture

$\left\{Q(w) \mid w \in S_{n}\right.$ and $\mathrm{SD}(w)$ is standard $\}$ are counted by the Motzkin numbers.


$$
n=3
$$

## Consecutive pattern avoidance

## Definition

A permutation $\sigma$ is said to be a consecutive pattern of another permutation $w$ if $w$ has a consecutive subsequence whose elements are in the same relative order as $\sigma$.

## Example

$w=314592687$ contains $\sigma=2413$ because the consecutive subsequence 5926 is ordered in the same way as $\sigma=2413$.

## Theorem (SUMRY 2021)

The good permutations are closed under consecutive pattern containment. That is, if a permutation is good, then any consecutive subpermutation is also good.

## Knuth Relations

Suppose $\pi, w \in S_{n}$ and $x<y<z$.

1. $\pi$ and $w$ differ by a Knuth relation of the first kind $\left(K_{1}\right)$ if

$$
\pi=x_{1} \ldots y x z \ldots x_{n} \text { and } w=x_{1} \ldots y z x \ldots x_{n} \text { or vice versa }
$$

2. $\pi$ and $w$ differ by a Knuth relation of the second kind $\left(K_{2}\right)$ if

$$
\pi=x_{1} \ldots x z y \ldots x_{n} \text { and } w=x_{1} \ldots z x y \ldots x_{n} \text { or vice versa }
$$

In addition, $\pi$ and $w$ differ by a Knuth relation of both kinds $\left(K_{B}\right)$ if they differ by $K_{1}$ and they differ by $K_{2}$, that is,

$$
\pi=x_{1} \ldots y_{1} x z y_{2} \ldots x_{n} \text { and } w=x_{1} \ldots y_{1} z x y_{2} \ldots x_{n} \text { or vice versa }
$$

where $x<y_{1}, y_{2}<z$
Example
$326154 \sim^{K_{1}} 362154$
$362154 \sim^{K_{B}} 362514$

We say that $\pi$ and $w$ are Knuth equivalent if they differ by a finite sequence of Knuth relations.

## Facts (Knuth)

- There is a path of Knuth moves from $w$ to the row reading word of $P(w)$.
- Two permutations have the same $P$ tableau if and only if they are in the same Knuth equivalence class.


## Example

The Knuth equivalence class of the row reading word $r=362514$ of | 1 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 6 |

$\quad r=362514$ K/

## Soliton decompositions and Knuth moves

The soliton decomposition is preserved by non- $K_{B}$ Knuth moves, but one $K_{B}$ move changes the soliton decomposition.

## Theorem (UConn Math REU 2020)

Let $r$ denote the row reading word of $P(w)$.

- $\mathrm{SD}(r)=P(r)$.
- If there exists a path of non- $K_{B}$ Knuth moves from $w$ to $r$, then $\mathrm{SD}(w)=P(w)$.
- If there exists a path from $w$ to $r$ containing an odd number of $K_{B}$ moves, then $\mathrm{SD}(w) \neq P(w)$.

Soliton decompositions in the Knuth equivalence class of 362154


Thank you!


## Extra: RSK algorithm example

Let $w=452361$.
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 \& \& 4 \& 4 \& 5 \& 2 \& 5 \& 2 \& 3 \& 2 \& 3 \& 6 \& 3 \& 6 <br>
4 \& \& 5 \& 5 \& <br>
4 \& 5 \& \& <br>

4 \& \& \end{array} \quad P(w)=\)| 1 | 3 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 |  |
| 4 |  |  |

| $Q:$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & \\ 6 & & \end{array}$

$$
Q(w)=
$$

Insertion and bumping rule for $P$
Insert $x$ into the first row of P . If $x$ is larger than every element in the first row, add $x$ to the end of the first row. If not, replace the smallest number larger than $x$ in row 1 with $x$. Insert this number into the row below following the same rules.

## Recording rule for $Q$

For Q , insert $1, \ldots, n$ in order so that the shape of Q at each step matches the shape of P .

## Extra: A localized version of Greene's theorem, part 1

## Definition (A localized version of longest $k$-increasing subsequences)

Let $\mathrm{i}(u):=$ the length of a longest increasing subsequence of $u$.
For $w \in S_{n}$ and $k \geq 1$, let $\mathrm{I}_{k}(w)=\max _{w=u_{1}|\cdots| u_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathrm{i}\left(u_{j}\right)$, where the maximum is taken over ways of writing $w$ as a concatenation $u_{1}|\cdots| u_{k}$ of consecutive subsequences.

## Example

Let $w=5623714$. For short, we write $\mathrm{I}_{k}:=\mathrm{I}_{k}(w)$. Then
$\mathrm{I}_{1}=\mathrm{i}(w)=3$ (since the longest increasing subsequences are 567, 237, and 234),
$\mathrm{I}_{2}=5$ (witnessed by $56 \mid 23714$ or $56237 \mid 14$ ),
$\mathrm{I}_{3}=7$ (witnessed uniquely by $56|237| 14$ ), and
$\mathrm{I}_{k}=7$ for all $k \geq 3$.

## Extra: A localized version of Greene's theorem, part 2

## Definition (A localized version of longest $k$-decreasing subsequences)

Let $\mathrm{D}(u):=1+\mid\{$ descents of $u\} \mid$.
For $w \in S_{n}$ and $k \geq 1$, let $\mathrm{D}_{k}(w)=\max _{w=u_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup u_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathrm{D}\left(u_{j}\right)$, where the maximum is taken over ways to write $w$ as the union of disjoint subsequences $u_{j}$ of $w$.

Example
Let $w=5623714$. For short, we write $\mathrm{D}_{k}:=\mathrm{D}_{k}(w)$. Then
$\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}(w)=1+\mid$ descents of $5623714|=1+|\{2,5\}|=3$,
$\mathrm{D}_{2}=6$ (one can take subsequences 531 and 6274 , among other partitions),
$\mathrm{D}_{3}=7$ (one can take subsequences 52,631 , and 74 , among other partitions), and
$\mathrm{D}_{k}=7$ for all $k \geq 3$.

## Extra: A localized version of Greene's theorem, part 3

## Theorem (Lewis-Lyu-Pylyavskyy-Sen 2019)

Suppose $w \in S_{n}$. Let $\Lambda=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}, \Lambda_{3}, \ldots\right)$ denote $\operatorname{sh} \operatorname{SD}(w)$. Let $M=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, \ldots\right)$ denote the conjugate of $\Lambda$. Then, for any $k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{k}(w) & =\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+\ldots+\Lambda_{k}, \\
\mathrm{D}_{k}(w) & =M_{1}+M_{2}+\ldots+M_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Let $w=5623714$. Then $\operatorname{sh} \operatorname{SD}(w)=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{3}-\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)=(3,2,2)$. We can verify this by computing the soliton decomposition $\mathrm{SD}(w)$, which turns out to be the (non-standard) tableau

$$
.
$$

Note: $\operatorname{sh} \mathrm{SD}(w)=(3,2,2)$ is smaller than $\operatorname{sh} P(w)=(3,3,1)$ in the dominance order.

## Extra: Two permutations with L-shaped SD

L-shaped SD which is not a column reading word: $w=3217654=(13)(47)(56)$ is a noncrossing involution.

$$
P(w)=Q(w)=\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 4 \\
\hline 2 & 5 \\
\hline 3 & 6 \\
\hline 7 & \text { and } \operatorname{SD}(w)=\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 4 \\
\hline 5 & 6 \\
\hline 7 & \begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 7 \\
\hline 2 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

An involution which is neither noncrossing nor a column reading word: $\pi=5274163=(15)(37)$ has a crossing.

$$
P(\pi)=Q(\pi)=\begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 1 & 3 & 6 \\
2 & 4 & \\
\hline 5 & 7
\end{array} \text { and } \operatorname{SD}(\pi)=
$$

## Extra: Good permutations are not closed under classical pattern containment

Starting with $n=5$, a good permutation in $S_{n}$ may have a substring which is not good.

## Example

- The permutation 25143 is good, but its subpermutation 2143 is not good.
- The permutation 35142 is good, but its subpermutation 3142 is not good.
- Let $w=42513$, which is a good permutation, and let $\sigma=4253$ be a substring of $w$. The standardization of $\sigma$ is 3142, which is not good.
(Therefore, the good permutations cannot be characterized by a set of classical avoided patterns.)

Extra: Permutations connected by $K_{B}$ moves and have the same $S D$
Two permutations with the same $S D$ which are connected by $K_{B}$ moves:

Extra: Solitary waves


