

2.10 C-sorting word

Def of c-sorting word

Given a Coxeter element $c \in S_{n+1}$, fix a reduced word $c = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$.

Let $c^\infty := c | c | c | \dots$

where $a_k \in \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$

$$= a_1 a_2 \dots a_n | a_1 a_2 \dots a_n | a_1 a_2 \dots a_n | \dots$$

Given $\pi \in S_{n+1}$, the (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) -sorting word for π is the subword of c^∞

which is lexicographically first (as a sequence of positions in c^∞)

and is a reduced word for π .

• Every permutation has exactly one c-sorting word.

The c-sorting word depends on the choice of reduced word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$.

Example | Fix $c = s_1 s_3 s_2$. Note: this Coxeter elt c has two different reduced words.

$$c^\infty = s_1 s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots \quad \text{Here I use } s_1 s_3 s_2.$$

(i) $\pi = 1432 = s_3 s_2 s_1 = s_2 s_3 s_2$ has two reduced words.

$$\text{Comparing } s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | s_1 s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots \quad \text{and} \\ s_1 s_3 \underline{s_2} | s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | s_1 s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots,$$

the reduced word $s_3 s_2 s_1$ is lexicographically first (as a sequence of positions in c^∞)

so the c-sorting word for 1432 is $s_3 s_2 s_1$.

(ii) $w = 4132 = s_3 s_2 s_3 s_1 = s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 = s_3 s_2 s_1 s_3$ has three reduced words.

$$\text{Comparing } s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | \underline{s_1} s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots, \\ s_1 s_3 \underline{s_2} | s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | \underline{s_1} s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots, \quad \text{and} \\ s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | \underline{s_1} s_3 s_2 | s_1 \underline{s_3} \underline{s_2} | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots,$$

the reduced word $s_3 s_2 s_1 s_3$ is the c-sorting word for w .

Algorithm for finding the (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) -sorting word of a permutation π , assuming we already know the length of π , and $\pi \neq \text{Id}$. Ref: "Comb. lattices & beyond"

We write down a reduced word $\pi = u_1 u_2 \dots u_\ell$ as follows, where $u_i \in S$.

• First, try each letter a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n (in this order) until we find one a_i s.t. $\ell(a_i \pi) < \ell(\pi)$.

Take a_i to be the first letter for π , set $u_1 := a_i$, and write $\pi' = u_1 \pi$.

• If $\pi' = \text{Id}$, then $\ell = 1$ and u_1 is the desired (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) -sorting word.

Otherwise, try each of the n letters in the order $a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n, a_1, \dots$ until we find

one $a_{i'}$ s.t. $\ell(a_{i'} \pi') < \ell(\pi')$. Take $a_{i'}$ to be the second letter for π ,

set $u_2 := a_{i'}$, and define $\pi'' = u_2 \pi'$.

• If $\pi'' = \text{Id}$, then $\ell = 2$ and $u_1 u_2$ is the desired (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) -sorting word.

Otherwise, continuing in this manner, we eventually find a reduced word for π .

This is the (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) -sorting word for π .

Example 2

Example of computing a C-sorting word

(Ref: Thesis by Suleiman)

For $c = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

(i) Let $\pi = s_1 s_4 s_3 s_4 = (12)(35) = 21543$, and assume we know

(for example by computing $\text{inv}(\pi)$ with Sage) that $\text{length}(\pi) = 4$

• $u_1 = s_1$ because $s_1 s_1 = \text{Id}$. Set $\pi' = u_1 \pi = s_1 s_1 s_4 s_3 s_4 = s_4 s_3 s_4$, of length 3

• Next, try each of s_2, s_3, s_4, s_1 , the next n letters in c^∞ after u_1 ,

$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

until we find s_i s.t. $\ell(s_i \pi') < \ell(\pi')$

* Try s_2 : $s_2 \pi' = s_2 s_4 s_3 s_4$ has length 4 (To see this, we can check the number of inversions of π')
Doesn't work — keep trying

* Try s_3 : $s_3 \pi' = s_3 s_4 s_3 s_4$
 $= s_4 s_3 s_4 s_4$ by the long braid move
 $= s_4 s_3$ since $s_4 s_4 = \text{Id}$

has length 2, smaller than $3 = \ell(\pi')$

So we set $u_2 = s_3$, and set $\pi'' = u_2 \pi'$
 $= s_3 s_4 s_3 s_4$
 $= s_4 s_3$

$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

• Next, try each of s_4, s_1, s_2, s_3 , the next n letters in c^∞ after u_2

$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

until we find s_i s.t. $\ell(s_i \pi'') < \ell(\pi'')$.

Then $u_3 = s_4$ because $s_4 \pi'' = s_4 s_4 s_3 = s_3$ has length 1. Set $\pi''' = s_3$

$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

• Next, $u_4 = s_3$ since $\pi''' = s_3$. \therefore The (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) -sorting word of π is $s_1 s_3 s_4 s_3$.

(ii) **PRACTICE** Let $w = s_2 s_1 = (23)(12) = (132) = 31245$.
 Verify this example
 Following the algorithm, we get $s_2 s_1$ as the (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) -sorting word of w .

$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

(iii) **PRACTICE** Let $z = s_1 s_3 s_2 = s_3 s_1 s_2 = (1243) = 24135$.
 Verify this example
 Following the algorithm, we get $s_1 s_3 s_2$ as the (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) -sorting word of z .

$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

2.11 c-sortable words

Def of c-sortable word Let c be a Coxeter element, and $a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_n$ be a reduced word of c .

For a set $K = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r\} \subset [n]$, let

c_K denote $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r}$.

The c -sorting word for a permutation $\pi \in S_{n+1}$ can be uniquely written as

$\pi = c_{K_1} c_{K_2} \dots c_{K_p}$, where p is minimal.

If $K_1 > K_2 > \dots > K_p$, we say that

π is c-sortable and $c_{K_1} c_{K_2} \dots c_{K_p}$ is a c-sortable word.

• Not every permutation is c-sortable.

Fact Although the def of c-sortable requires a choice of a reduced word of c , the set of c-sortable elements does not depend on the choice of reduced word for c .

From above Example 1,

for $c = s_1 s_3 s_2 = s_3 s_1 s_2$

c has two reduced words - here I choose $s_1 s_3 s_2$.
But whether or not a permutation is c-sortable does not depend on my choice of reduced words.

$$(i) \pi = 1432 = s_3 s_2 s_1 = s_1 \overset{\circ}{s_3} \overset{\circ}{s_2} | s_1 \overset{\circ}{s_3} s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots$$

$\{s_3, s_2\} \supset \{s_3\} \supset \emptyset \dots$

is the c -sorting word for π , so π is c-sortable,

$$(ii) w = 4132 = s_3 s_2 s_1 s_3 = s_1 \overset{\circ}{s_3} \overset{\circ}{s_2} | s_1 \overset{\circ}{s_3} s_2 | s_1 \overset{\circ}{s_3} s_2 | s_1 s_3 s_2 | \dots$$

$\{s_3, s_2\} \not\supset \{s_1\}$

is the c -sorting word for w , so w is not c-sortable.

From above example 2, for $c = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$

$$(i) \pi = s_1 s_3 s_4 s_3 = \overset{\circ}{s_1} s_2 \overset{\circ}{s_3} \overset{\circ}{s_4} | s_1 s_2 \overset{\circ}{s_3} \overset{\circ}{s_4} | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 \text{ is c-sortable.}$$

$\{s_1, s_3, s_4\} \supset \{s_3\} \supset \emptyset \dots$

$$(ii) w = s_2 s_1 = s_1 \overset{\circ}{s_2} s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$$

$\{s_2\} \not\supset \{s_1\}$

$$(iii) z = s_1 s_3 s_2 = \overset{\circ}{s_1} s_2 \overset{\circ}{s_3} s_4 | s_1 \overset{\circ}{s_2} s_3 s_4 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$$

$\{s_1, s_3\} \not\supset \{s_2\}$

not c-sortable

Thm 2.11 Let c be any Coxeter elt.
 • The identity permutation is c -sortable (since it is the empty word).
 • The longest elt w_0 is c -sortable.

Example 3 for Thm 2.11

Apply the algorithm 2.10 for A_3 , $w_0 = 4321$. We know w_0 has length $\binom{4}{2} = 6$.

For $c = s_1 s_2 s_3$ (Tamari Coxeter element)

Note: Multiplying $s_i = (i, i+1)$ on the left corresponds to swapping values $i, i+1$

First, apply s_1 : $s_1 w_0 = s_1 \cdot 4321 \stackrel{\text{swaps } 2,1}{=} 4312$.

Set $u_1 = s_1$, and $w_0' := s_1 w_0 = 4312$

$(s_1) s_2 s_3 | s_1 s_2 s_3 | s_1 s_2 s_3$

Try the next letter in c^∞ , which is s_2 : $s_2 w_0' = s_2 \cdot 4312 \stackrel{\text{swaps } 3,2}{=} 4213$

This puts 2,3 in order, which decreases the inversion number,
 so $\ell(s_2 w_0') < \ell(w_0')$.

Set $u_2 = s_2$, and $w_0'' := s_2 w_0' = 4213$

$(s_1) (s_2) s_3 | s_1 s_2 s_3 | s_1 s_2 s_3$

Try the next letter in c^∞ , which is s_3 : $s_3 w_0'' = s_3 \cdot 4213 \stackrel{\text{swaps } 4,3}{=} 3214$

Again, $\ell(s_3 w_0'') < \ell(w_0'')$.

Set $u_3 = s_3$, and $w_0''' := s_3 w_0'' = 3214$

$(s_1) (s_2) (s_3) s_1 s_2 s_3 | s_1 s_2 s_3$

The next letter in c^∞ , s_1 , also works because entries 2,1 in $w_0''' = 3214$ are out of order.

Set $u_4 = s_1$, and $w_0^{(4)} := s_1 w_0''' = 3124$.

The next letter in c^∞ , s_2 , also works because entries 3,2 in $w_0^{(4)} = 3124$ are out of order.

Set $u_5 = s_2$, and $w_0^{(5)} := s_2 w_0^{(4)} = 2134$.

$(s_1) (s_2) (s_3) (s_1) (s_2) s_3 | s_1 s_2 s_3$

The next letter in c^∞ , s_3 , does not work because $s_3 w_0^{(5)} = s_3 \cdot 2134 = 2143$

→ The next letter in c^∞ after s_2 is s_1 :

s_1 works because $s_1 w_0^{(5)} = s_1 \cdot 2134 \stackrel{\text{swaps } 2,1}{=} 1234$.

Set $u_6 = s_1$

$(s_1) (s_2) (s_3) (s_1) (s_2) (s_3) (s_1) s_2 s_3$

∴ The (s_1, s_2, s_3) -sorting word for $w_0 = 4321$ is $s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1$.

Since $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\} \supset \{s_1, s_2\} \supset \{s_1\}$, this shows $w_0 = 4321$ is c -sortable.

Note:
 $u_6 = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2$ is not the (s_1, s_2, s_3) -sorting word.

Example for Thm 2.11

Apply the algorithm 2.10 for A_4 , $w_0 = 54321$. We know w_0 has length $\binom{5}{2} = 10$.
For $c = s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4$ (a "bipartite" Coxeter element)

Note: Multiplying $s_i = (i, i+1)$ on the left corresponds to swapping values $i, i+1$

First, try s_3 : $s_3 w_0 = s_3 \circ 54321 = 53421$.
Set $u_1 = s_3$, and $w_0' := s_3 w_0 = 53421$

$s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 | s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 | s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4$

Try the next letter in c^∞ , which is s_1 : $s_1 w_0' = s_1 \circ 53421 = 53412$
This puts 1, 2 in order, which decreases the inversion number,
so $d(s_1 w_0') < d(w_0')$.
Set $u_2 = s_1$, and $w_0'' := s_1 w_0' = 53412$.

$s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 | s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 | s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4$

The next letter in c^∞ , s_2 , works: $s_2 w_0'' = s_2 \circ 53412 = 52413$
Set $u_3 = s_2$, and $w_0''' := s_2 w_0'' = 52413$.

Next, set

$u_4 = s_4$, and	$w_0^{(4)} := s_4 w_0''' = s_4 \circ 52413 = 42513$
$u_5 = s_3$, and	$w_0^{(5)} := s_3 w_0^{(4)} = s_3 \circ 42513 = 32514$
$u_6 = s_1$, and	$w_0^{(6)} := s_1 w_0^{(5)} = s_1 \circ 32514 = 31524$
$u_7 = s_2$, and	$w_0^{(7)} := s_2 w_0^{(6)} = s_2 \circ 31524 = 21534$
$u_8 = s_4$, and	$w_0^{(8)} := s_4 w_0^{(7)} = s_4 \circ 21534 = 21435$
$u_9 = s_3$, and	$w_0^{(9)} := s_3 w_0^{(8)} = s_3 \circ 21435 = 21345$
$u_{10} = s_1$, and	$w_0^{(10)} := s_1 w_0^{(9)} = s_1 \circ 21345 = 12345$

PRACTICE
Verify
computation
for
 u_4, \dots, u_{10}

$s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 | s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 | s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4$

So the (s_1, s_1, s_2, s_4) -sorting word
for 54321 is $s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 s_1$, and
so 54321 is $s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4$ -sortable.

PRACTICE

Use Algorithm 2.10
to verify
these two
examples

More examples for type A_4 Coxeter group

- If $c = s_1 s_3 s_5 s_2 s_4$, $w_0 = s_1 s_3 s_5 s_2 s_4 | s_1 s_3 s_5 s_2 s_4 | s_1 s_3 s_5 s_2 s_4$ is the c -sorting word of w_0 , which shows w_0 is c -sortable.
- If $c = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5$, $w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 | s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5$ is the c -sorting word of w_0 , which shows w_0 is c -sortable.

REU Exercise 11

Exercises 8 & 9 give us the c -sorting word for $c = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ (Tamari) and $c = \text{odd indices} \text{ even indices}$ (bipartite)

Now, consider the Coxeter element $c := s_1 s_2 \dots s_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \dots s_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \dots s_{n-1} s_n$

for example $c = s_2 s_1 s_3$
 $c = s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4$
 $c = s_3 s_2 s_1 s_4 s_5$
 $c = s_3 s_2 s_1 s_4 s_5 s_6$
 $c = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_5 s_6 s_7$

the first half of indices "Halfway" the second half of indices



Give the c -sorting word of w_0 for arbitrary n .

Known For Tamari, $\lambda_1 - 4 = \lambda_2$ for $n \geq 4$, for $C = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$
 $\lambda_1 - 2 = \lambda_2$ for $n \geq 4$, for $C = s_1 s_3 s_5 \dots s_2 s_4 \dots$

Conjecture For "halfway" C , $\lambda_1 - 3 = \lambda_2$ for $n \geq 4$.

Thm 2.11(b)

A permutation π is the minimum elt of its γ_C -fiber iff π is C -sortable.

~ end Thu June 18, 2020 ~

79 %